UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,632	01/23/2004	James R. Lawter	ORA5002USACNT1 7747 (J&JO-103U	
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 10/02/200 NSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J		LEWIS, RALPH A		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/763,632	LAWTER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-27,36-41 and 49-52 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 22-27, 49 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36-39, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Egolf (US 4,909,788).

Egolf discloses an apparatus for dispensing material comprising a barrel 12 and tube portion 24. The tube portion 24 includes a tip 28 which is capable of being deformed to a different cross-section. A plunger 18 is slidably housed within barrel 12 and contacts external force applying members 22 and 44. The barrel includes flanges 36 which have radial flexibility (note column 3, lines 30-34) to allow for locking engagement with external force applying member 44. In regard to claim 37, one of the flanges 36 can be considered the nub and element 42 the indent; the arrangement allows for the barrel to remain stationary as set forth in the abstract.

Claims 36-41 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stefaniak et al (US 5,004,124).

Stefaniak et al disclose an apparatus for dispensing comprised of a barrel 22 having a body portion and a tip portion 34. The tip portion is capable of being

Art Unit: 3732

deformed. A plunger 24/26 is slidably received within the barrel body portion 22. The body portion includes flexible flanges 54, 56 which allow for a snap fit with force applying member 30/32. In regard to claim 37, element 54 also acts as the claimed nub. In regard to claim 40, note sleeve 30, shaft 48 and spring 82/92. In regard to claim 41, springs 32 and/or 82 are capable of encircling one's thumb.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-41, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefaniak et al (US 5,004,124) in view of Frush (US 5,244,388) and/or Egolf (US 4,909,788).

Stefaniak et al are silent as to the flexibility of tip 34. The examiner has taken the position above in the 102 rejection that any mechanical element can be "deformed". However, in the event that the "tip configured for being deformed" is deemed to require that the tip have a given amount of flexibility such that it is easily bent during use, then Frush is cited as teaching that it is desirable to make such dental application tips flexibly deformable so that dental material may be more easily spread over the teeth surfaces. To have made the Figure 2 tip 34 of Stefaniak et al flexibly deformable so that the dental material may be more easily and evenly distributed over the teeth as taught by

Art Unit: 3732

Frush would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In regard to the flexible flanges limitation, to the limited extent that it is deemed that elements 56 and 54 of Stefaniak et al do not meet the "flexible flanges" limitation, then one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have formed notches in the flange member 54 in view of the notch 38 (column 3, lines 30-34) teaching by Egolf for a similar syringe type coupling in order to provide for more radial flexibility to engage the receiving notch.

Response to Applicant's Remarks

The examiner is in general agreement with applicant regarding the impropriety of the rejection based on Brizzolara et al, Kozam and Firth et al. Particularly troubling is the reversing of parts in Firth et al and then applying that reversed teaching to the distantly related Brizzolara et al reference. Accordingly, this rejection has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-27 49 and 50 are allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/763,632 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

This application has been transferred as Examiner Bumgarner has accepted another position within the Office. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712.** Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at (571) 272-4964.

R.Lewis September 28, 2008

/Ralph A. Lewis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732